

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DC 202 H www.inspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10 030,750	11-09-2001	Michael G.L. Dorling	1084-011957	4182
7	590 12 20 2002			

Kent E Baldauf 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1818

EXAMINER MAHONEY, CHRISTOPHER E ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12-20-2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-,~
	10/030,750	MICHAEL DORLIN	1G
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher E Mahoney	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely HS from the mailing date of this co NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. ommunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or2a) This action is FINAL.2b) ∑	' ——— 〕 This action is non-final.		
		ere prosecution as to th	a marite is
 Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u Disposition of Claims 	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213	e ments is
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applic	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊡ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	accepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	- ·		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		sapproved by the Examine	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required	• •		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docu			
2. Certified copies of the priority docu			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation* See the attached detailed Office action for	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional	application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languag 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	•		
Attachment(s)	, ,		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	8) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(formal Patent Application (PTG	
,			

Application/Control Number: 10/030,750

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1-26, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claims 7-12 it is unclear which of said bodies are being referred back to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,750

Art Unit: 2851

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Morris (U.S. Patent No. 6,204,971). Morris teaches a projection screen comprising a transparent matrix 3 having first particles 1 and second light absorbing particles 2. The applicant is directed to review the figures.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Piepel (U.S. Patent No. 6,466,368). Morris teaches a projection screen comprising a transparent matrix 3 having first particles 1 and second light absorbing particles 2. The applicant is directed to review the figures.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher E Mahoney whose telephone number is (703) 305-3475. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5PM, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on (703) 308-1436. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 10/030,750

Art Unit: 2851

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3431 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Christopher E Mahoney Primary Examiner

h E

Art Unit 2851